Co.: gravelly railroad bed near the station, Belleville. See p. 142.

\*Lactuca hirsuta Muhl. Yarmouth Co.: dry rocky clearing northwest of Tusket (Vaughan) Lake.

PRENANTHES ALTISSIMA L. Rich woods, Digby Neck to Cape

Breton.

P. Altissima, forma **hispidula** (Fernald), n. comb. Var. hispidula Fernald in Brainerd, Jones & Eggletson, Fl. Vt. 89 (1900). Digby Co.: rich moist woods, Sandy Cove.

Hieracium Pilosella L. Too common along the line of the Can-

adian National eastward.

\* H. pratense Tausch. Fields and railroad banks, Annapolis and Digby Cos.

H. PANICULATUM L. YARMOUTH Co.: border of mixed woods by Randel Lake, Argyle.

## EXPLANATION OF PLATE 130.

Fig. 1. Northeastern Range of Carex Howei. 2. Southeastern Range of Empetrum nigrum. 3. Range of Ilex glabra. 4. Northern Range of Utricularia subulata. 5. Range of Poa costata. 6. Northeastern Range of Cystopteris bulbifera. 7. Range of Erigeron hyssopifolius. 8. Range of Amelanchier canadensis. 9. Portion of Range of Carex scabrata. 10. Eastern Range of Lilium canadense. 11. Range of Schizaea pusilla. 12. Ranges of Sabatia decandra (solid) and S. Kennedyana (in ellipse). 13. Northeastern Range of Polygonum robustius. 14. Range of Eleocharis tuberculosa. 15. Northeastern Range of Potamogeton pulcher. 16. Northeastern Range of Panicum longifolium (Var. Tusketense in ellipse). 17. Range of Genus Lophiola.

Barratt, Torrey and Schweinitz: a Correction and a Discrepancy.—Mr. C. L. Shear, one of the editors of the recently published correspondence of Schweinitz and Torrey (Mem. Torr. Bot. Club, xvi. no. 3, July, 1921) has called my attention to a discrepancy between a statement in my paper on Joseph Barratt (Rhodora xxiii. 123) and one of Torrey's letters. I said that Barratt, in company with Torrey, visited Schweinitz at Bethlehem in the autumn of 1825. There is not only no mention of such a visit in the correspondence, but in a letter dated "December—1825," Torrey writes to Schweinitz, "I am greatly rejoiced to hear, through our friend Mr. Halsey, of your safe return after so tedious an absence." The tedious absence was a trip to Europe which Schweinitz made in the spring and summer of 1825: Torrey could hardly have said in December that he had learned of Schweinitz's return through a third person if he had seen him in the autumn.

Through the kindness of Mr. John H. Sage, I have had the privilege of re-examining Barratt's autobiographical memoranda. These show that that part of my statement placing the meeting with Schweinitz at Bethlehem was based on a misreading of Barratt's rather crabbed manuscript. He wrote, "Saw Mr. Schweinitz of

Bethlehem;" I read it "Saw Mr. Schweinitz at Bethlehem." The meeting, it seems, actually took place at New York early in October, 1825. Barratt was there on his way back to Philipstown after a visit to Middletown. Schweinitz had, no doubt, just reached New York on his return from Europe. They met, Barratt says, at the Moravian Church where "Mr. Schweinitz had been preaching that evening." He adds that "Dr. Torrey was introduced at the same time" and that he (Barratt) "afterwards went up the North River in company with Mr. Schweinitz."

in company with Mr. Schweinitz."

I see no obvious explanation of the contradiction between Barratt's explicit statement that Torrey and Schweinitz met in October, 1825, and the very plain implication of Torrey's letter that they did not. There is, however, nothing to show when Barratt's memoranda were written down: if long after the event, he may have confused two occasions in memory. Schweinitz did go up the North River to visit Torrey at West Point in March, 1827; it is just possible that Barratt was along at that time, though so far as I know, there is no evidence to that effect. Unless we can suppose some such slip of memory on his part, the contradiction must remain, at least for the present, unexplained.—C. A. Weatherby, East Hartford, Connecticut.

Vol. 23, no. 275, including pages 247 to 278 and plate 133, was issued 27 February, 1922.